UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/667,010	09/21/2000	Uve Hansmann	IBM-116	8803	
Thomas A Beck	7590 04/15/200 K	EXAMINER			
26 Rockledge L		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K			
New Milford, C	.1 00770		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		09/667,0	010	HANSMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Aravind	K. Moorthy	2131			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on ti	he cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MORE IN THE	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and o will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION  Event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on the MED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, p		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 21 Septembers Applicant may not request that any objection is solved.	are withdrawn from continuous ction and/or election the Examiner.  er 2000 is/are: a)⊠	requirement. accepted or b)⊡ obje	•	miner.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	•	o by the Examiner. I	vote the attached Onk	ce Action of form	10-102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 7 January 2008.

2. Claims 1-15 are pending in the application.

3. Claims 1-15 have been rejected.

Response to Arguments

4. Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Applicant's arguments filed

7 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 2, the applicant argues that the basis for "split key" (i.e. public key/private key

procedures) is found inherently in the specification in terms of its description of the invention.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant has not provided a definition of "split

key". The applicant argues that the basis for "split key" is public key/private key procedures.

The examiner disagrees. When creating a split key, you are asked to set up how many different

shares that will be required to rejoin the key. The shares are saved as files either encrypted to the

public key of a shareholder or encrypted conventionally if the shareholder has no public key.

After the key has been split, attempting to sign with it or decrypt with it will automatically

attempt to rejoin the key. There are two ways to rejoin a key, locally and remotely. Rejoining key

shares locally requires the shareholders presence at the rejoining computer. Each shareholder is

required to enter the passphrase for his or her key share. Rejoining key shares remotely requires

the remote shareholders to authenticate and decrypt their keys before sending them over the

network. Based on this logic, the examiner maintains the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,010 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the

written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described

in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that

the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 11 and 12 have been amended to include the limitations of "the

authentication comprising temporary deactivation which adds authorization patterns prior to the

operation" and "non split-key". Neither of these limitations are supported by the specification as

originally disclosed.

Any claims not directly addressed are rejected on their virtue of dependency.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 1, 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: omitted word.

The examiner asserts that the word "access" should be after the word "unauthorized" in the

amended portion of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

## 7. Claims 1-15 are allowed over prior art.

As to independent claims 1 and 11, prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the devices comprising mobile phones, small computer-controlled consumer devices with relatively low level of computing power, computers, motor vehicles, control terminals for industrial processes, all of which devices may require authentication prior to operation. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the authentication comprising temporary deactivation which adds authorization patterns of progressive hierarchies of access rights to the devices prior to the operation. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest establishment of a non split-key link between a personal authentication system supplied with encryption data and a logic system able to control an electronic device control, the encryption data being stored solely in the authentication system, the link between the authentication system and the device being via wired or wireless means. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest checking the encryption data in the authentication system prior to operation of the electronic device control. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest assignment of a plurality of predetermined means of access to the electronic device control associated with the authentication system the predetermined means providing access to physical hardware resources and access to different software functions, based on the privileges of the user who identified himself to the system, the software function evaluates a security token and is running on top of the physical hardware. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest enabling of the means for access predetermined for the authentication system dependent on the result of the check. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the method providing means for protecting the devices

Art Unit: 2131

against unauthorized access by rendering the devices configurable in a user friendly and secure way making them accessible for an individual, customized by a person.

As to independent claim 12, prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest setting basic electronic means of access for operation of devices. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the basic electronic means selected from the group consisting of disable operation of the devices, enable operation of the devices, and enable configuration of the devices. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the operation being controllable by electronic means. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the devices comprising mobile phones, small computer-controlled consumer devices with relatively low level of computing power, computers, motor vehicles, control terminals for industrial processes, all of which devices may require authentication prior to operation. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the authentication comprising a temporary deactivation which adds authorization patterns of progressive hierarchies of access rights to the devices prior to the operation. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest establishment of a non split-key link between a personal authentication system comprising a Smartcard supplied with encryption data and a logic system able to control an electronic device control; the link being made without need for intermediate software layers. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the encryption data being stored solely in the authentication system. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest reading at least one of the following features embodied within the authentication system: firmware programs, device-specific command sequences for execution of specific devicespecific functions, cryptographic keys, cryptographic algorithms, and individual decision-making logic. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest checking the encryption data in the

Art Unit: 2131

authentication system prior to operation of the electronic device control. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest configuring the devices by authorized persons, wherein after successful authentication, device-specific configuration data are downloaded into the devices from the authentication system in accordance with the authentication systems or over a network. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest assignment of a plurality of predetermined means of access to the electronic device control associated with the authentication system. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the predetermined means providing access to physical hardware resources and access to different software functions. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest based on the privileges of the user who identified himself to the system, the software function evaluates a security token and is running on top of the physical hardware. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the predetermined means of access being dependent upon the level of authorization that is set in the personal authorization system. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest enabling of the predetermined means for access for the authentication system dependent on the result of the check. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the method providing means for protecting the devices against unauthorized by rendering the devices configurable in a user friendly and secure way making them accessible for an individual, customized use by a person. Prior art does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest that the basic means of access to functions of the device comprise at least one of the following means: disable operation of the devices, enable operation of the devices, or enable configuration of the devices.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,010

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 7

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,010 Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aravind K Moorthy/ Examiner, Art Unit 2131

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2131